UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/537,733	06/07/2005	Isao Inoue	CU-6562	6653	
	530 7590 12/21/2010 ADAS & PARRY LLP			EXAMINER	
224 SOUTH MICHIGAN AVENUE			BERDICHEVSKY, MIRIAM		
SUITE 1600 CHICAGO, IL 60604			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1723		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/21/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/537,733	INOUE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	MIRIAM BERDICHEVSKY	1723			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>rce 12/7/2010</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  2b) This action is non-final.  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8,11,14 and 22-34 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 5-8 and 28-34 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4, 11, 14, 22-27 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive J (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) A cit Date 127/2010, 11/1/2010.  S Patent and Trademark Office	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ite			

Application/Control Number: 10/537,733 Page 2

Art Unit: 1723

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/7/2010 has been entered.

#### Remarks

Claim 1 is amended. Claims 5-8 and 28-34 are withdrawn. Claims 1-4, 11, 14 and 22-27 are currently pending.

## Status of Rejections

All rejections from the previous office action are maintained.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/537,733 Page 3

Art Unit: 1723

2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 11, 14 and 23-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP'610 (JP 5-186610) and JP'073 (JP 2001-320073).

As to claim 1, JP'610 teaches a filler sheet for a solar cell module, which is formed as a filler sheet laminated on from face and rear face sides of a solar cell element and is made of a resin film produced by a resin composition consisting essentially of: a copolymer of an α-olefin and an ethylenic unsaturated silane compound ([0011], [0018] and [0032]) and one or more selected from a group consisting of a light resisting agent an ultraviolet absorbent and a thermal stabilizer ([0033]).

JP'610 is silent to a thermal stabilizer. JP'073 teaches an olefin based filler sheet for solar cells which includes a heat resistant stabilizer (thermal stabilizer) ([0025]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the thermal stabilizer of JP'073 in JP'610 because the additives increase weatherability of the solar module, as taught by JP'073 ([0069]) especially in light of the fact that JP'610 discloses a desire for heat resistance (thermal stability) ([0004]) and it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select

Application/Control Number: 10/537,733

Art Unit: 1723

a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice (MPEP 2144.07).

Regarding claim 2, JP'610 teaches that the  $\alpha$ -olefin is one or more selected from a group consisting of ethylene, propylene, 1-butene ([0032]).

Regarding claim 3, JP'610 teaches that the ethylenic unsaturated silane compound is vinyltrimethoxysilane ([0018]).

Regarding claim 4, JP'610 teaches that the copolymer further comprises one or more selected from vinyl acetate, acrylic acid, methacrylic acid, methyl acrylate, methyl methacrylate, ethyl acrylate and vinyl alcohol ([0021]).

Regarding claim 11, modified JP'610 teaches the use of stabilizers/additives in the copolymer (JP'610: [0033]) one such stabilizer being a thermal stabilizer (JP'073: [0025]) made of a phosphorous type or phenol type (JP'073: [0069]).

Regarding claim 23, JP'610 teaches the use of a copolymer of ethylene and an ethylene nature unsaturated silane such as vinyltrimethoxysilane ([0011] and [0018]) but is silent the ethylene formed being straight chain low density polyethylene.

JP'073 teaches that olefins such as ethylene specifically low density polyethylene can be used in a filler sheet for solar cell modules ([0010]), wherein polyethylene forms straight chains of ethylene monomers.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the low density polyethylene of JP'073 in JP'610 because JP'073 teaches that the materials are art recognized equivalents such that one of ordinary skill would have found it obvious to use low density polyethylene of a limited number of

options with a reasonable expectation of success with predictable results. Moreover, selection of a known material based on its suitability for an intended use is within the skill of a worker in the art (MPEP 2144 and 2141).

Regarding claim 24, modified JP'610 teaches a hindered amine (JP'073: [0069]) light resisting agent. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the hindered amine system of JP'073 in JP'610 because the additives increase weatherability of the solar module, as taught by JP'073 ([0069]).

Regarding claim 25, modified JP'610 teaches a benzophenone type UV absorber (JP'073: [0069]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the benzophenone system of JP'073 in JP'610 because the additives increase weatherability of the solar module, as taught by JP'073 ([0069]).

Regarding claims 14 and 26-27, JP'610 teaches the use of stabilizers/additives in the copolymer ([0033]) but is silent to the light resisting agent being 0.01-5% by weight of the copolymer (claim 26), the UV absorbent being 0.05-5% by weight of the copolymer (claim 27) and the thermal stabilizer being 0.05-5% by weight of the copolymer (claim 14).

JP'073 teaches an olefin based filler sheet for solar cells which includes light resisting, UV absorbing and thermal stabilizing additives added to the filler sheet at 0.1 to 10% by weight depending on the shape and density of the product ([0069]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the light resisting agent at 0.01-5% by weight of the copolymer (claim 12), the UV absorbent at 0.05-5% by weight of the copolymer (claim 13) and the thermal stabilizer at

Art Unit: 1723

0.05-5% by weight of the copolymer (claim 14) in order to optimize the weatherability, heat resistance, lightfastness, water resistance, wind endurance, hailstorm proof nature of the solar cell module (JP'073: [0001]) as it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to discover an optimum value of a result effective variable as part of routine skill in the art (MPEP 2144.05).

4. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP'610 and JP'073 as applied to claim 1, and further in view of Pfaendner (US 6362278).

Regarding claim 22, modified JP'610 teaches heat resistant stabilizers (thermal stabilizers) and various other additives for the stabilization of the thermoplastic olefin copolymer (JP'610: [0033] and JP'073: [0025] and [0069]) but is silent to specifically a lactone stabilizer. Pfaendner teaches stabilizing polymers such as olefin copolymers (col. 24, lines 30-40) using various additives including lactones (thermal stabilizers) (col. 10, line 40 to col. 11, line 40). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the lactone stabilizer of Pfaendner in modified JP'610 because the additives reduce thermal and photooxidative damage especially in light of the fact that JP'610 discloses a desire for heat resistance (thermal stability) ([0004]); JP'073 specifically discloses various additives which overlap with those taught by Pfaendner ([0069]) and it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice (MPEP 2144.07).

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been fully considered but are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the amendment changing the independent from open language to "consisting essentially of" removes JP'610 because JP'610 has a third component an unstaturated carboxylic acid whereas independent claim 1 does not. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. A consisting essentially of claim occupies a middle ground between closed claims that are written in a consisting of format and fully open claims that are drafted in a comprising format." The transitional phrase "consisting essentially of" limits the scope of a claim to the specified materials or steps "and those that do not materially affect the basic and novel characteristic(s)" of the claimed invention. In this case, the unsaturated carboxylic acid does not materially affect the basic and novel characteristics of the claimed invention, as evidenced by Applicant's claim 4.

#### **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **MIRIAM BERDICHEVSKY** whose telephone number is (571)270-5256. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 10am-8pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexa Neckel can be reached on (571) 272-1446. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/537,733 Page 8

Art Unit: 1723

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/M. B./ Examiner, Art Unit 1723

/Alexa D. Neckel/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1723